

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

A score under the heading **Exceeds Expectations** in any category means that, in your opinion, the information is presented in an effective, creative way; in effect, nothing more could be expected of the participants, and their decisions/recommendations have been presented well.

A score under the heading **Meets Expectations** in any category means that, in your opinion, the information is presented well. There may be a few minor problems or omissions, but they are not significant. Decisions/Recommendations that earn this level in every category for the presentation would probably receive strong consideration for implementation.

A score under the heading **Below Expectations** or **Little/No Demonstration** in any category means that some major flaw has been noted which damages the effectiveness of the presentation. This may be a major omission, a serious misstatement or any other major flaw.

## JUDGING SUMMARY

Maximum score is 100 points. A score of 70 or better will earn the participants a Certificate of Excellence.

We hope you are impressed by the quality of work from these students with an interest in business law. If you have any suggestions for improving this event, please mention them to your event manager.

*We thank you for your help.*

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

I.D. Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**Evaluation Form**

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

I.D. Number: \_\_\_\_\_

	Little/No Demonstration	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Judged Points
1. Did the team correctly analyze the situation? (Possible Points 10)	0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
2. Did the team exhibit clear-cut understanding of the purpose of business regulation? (Possible Points 10) . . . . .	0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
3. Did the team demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of case law? (Possible Points 10) . . . . .	0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
4. Did the team organize their legal ideas and concepts effectively? (Possible Points 15). . . . .	0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
5. Did the team demonstrate a clear knowledge of the law related to this particular case study scenario? (Possible Points 15). . . . .	0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
6. Were the legal ideas and concepts communicated with the proper legal terminology? (Possible Points 10) . . .	0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
7. Did the team use realistic strategies to establish their solution? (Possible Points 15) . . . . .	0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
8. Did the team show an equal effort in the presentation? (Possible Points 10) . . .	0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
9. Overall performance: Appropriate appearance, poise, confidence, presentation technique, etc. (Possible Points 5) . . . . .	0-1	2-3	4	5	_____

**Total Judged Points (100 maximum):** \_\_\_\_\_

*A score of 70 or better will earn the participant a Certificate of Excellence.*

JUDGE SECTION: A B C D E F G (circle one)

***Tie Breaker***

For tie-breaking purposes, the following evaluation form ranking process will be used. Begin with item #7. The team with the highest score for #7 wins the tie-break. If this does not break the tie, continue the process for the remaining evaluation items in the following order: 5, 4, 1, 3, 2, 6, 8, 9.